OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

2nd June 2020

REVIEW OF SERVICES TO PREVENT FLOODING TASK GROUP

Relevant Portfolio Holder	Councillor Margaret Sherrey	
Portfolio Holder Consulted	Yes	
Relevant Head of Service for	Claire Felton – Head of Legal,	
Overview and Scrutiny	Equalities and Democratic Services	
Wards Affected	All	
Ward Councillor Consulted	All Ward Councillors were invited to	
	join the Task Group	
Non-Key Decision		

1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

- 1.1 At the previous meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Board held on 10th February 2020, the Review of Services to Prevent Flooding Task Group was established, with Councillor R. Hunter being appointed as Chairman.
- 1.2 As agreed Members (with the exception of Members of the Cabinet) were contacted, requesting that anyone who had an interest in becoming a Member of the Task Group contact the relevant officer within a set timescale.
- 1.3 Group Leaders were also informed of the establishment of the new Task Group.
- 1.4 Three Councillors have shown an interest in joining the Task Group; Councillor S. Colella, Councillor H. Rone-Clarke and Councillor C. Spencer. The Overview and Scrutiny Board Inquiry/Task Group Guidelines (approved by the Board at its meeting held on 1st March 2011) recommend that task groups must be at least 4 but no more than 7 Members (including the Chairman). It is at the Board's discretion as to whether the three Councillors who have shown interest in the Task Group are appointed Members or alternatively, agree which of the following Members be appointed.

Councillors

- 1.5 It should be noted that with effect from 1st April 2016 and in line with the Members' Allowances Scheme, the Chairman of a Task Group is paid a special allowance of £1,331 pro rata for the length of a Task Group, upon completion of the work. A special allowance is no longer paid to Task Group Members.
- 1.6 Members are requested to consider in detail the terms of reference (see Appendix 1 'Possible Key Objectives') in order to ensure the

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

2nd June 2020

Board is clear as to what they expect the Task Group to achieve. The Board can make amendments to the terms of reference if it wishes. Please note that the Task Group members, at the first meeting, will also be given the opportunity to discuss the terms of reference. However, should they wish to make any significant changes, these would need to be approved by the Board.

- 1.7 Members are reminded that when setting a timescale for a Task Group, it is usually expected that a Task Group will conclude its investigations within four to six months from the date of the first Task Group meeting. However, the Board can decide that certain topics require more time to ensure complex issues are properly scrutinised.
- 1.8 It is vital that appropriate officer support is provided to help ensure an effective investigation is undertaken leading to strong recommendations. Taking this into consideration, it is suggested that the Task Group commences its investigation as soon as officer support is available

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 Members are requested to:

- (a) consider and agree the terms of reference of Review of Services to Prevent Flooding Task Group (see attached Overview and Scrutiny Exercise Scoping Checklist at Appendix 2);
- (b) consider and agree the membership of the Task Group;
- (c) decide upon the length of time the Task Group will have to carry out its investigations (Task Groups are normally expected to conclude their investigations within six months from the date of the first meeting); and
- (d) request the Task Group to commence its investigation as soon as possible.

3. KEY ISSUES

Financial Implications

3.1 There are no financial implications directly relating to this report.

Legal Implications

3.2 There are no legal implications directly relating to this report.

Service/Operation Implications

3.3 None for the purpose of this report.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

2nd June 2020

<u>Customer / Equalities and Diversity Implications</u>

3.4 There are no implications directly relating to customer/equality and diversity within this report.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT

4.1 None for the purpose of this report.

5. <u>APPENDICES</u>

Appendix 1 – Review of Services to Prevent Flooding Task Group Topic Proposal

Appendix 2 - Overview and Scrutiny Exercise Scoping Checklist

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

7. **KEY**

None

AUTHOR OF REPORT

Name: Jo Gresham – Democratic Services Officer

E Mail: joanne.gresham@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk

Tel: 01527 64252 ext 3031

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD

2nd June 2020

APPENDIX 1

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY TOPIC PROPOSAL

This form can be used for either a Task Group or a Short Sharp Review topic proposal.

Completed forms should be returned to scrutiny@bromsgrove.gov.uk – Democratic Services, Bromsgrove District Council.

Name of Proposer: Rob Hunter	
Tel No:01527 332 336	Email:r.hunter@bromsgrove.gov.uk
Date:16/01/2020	

Title of Proposed Topic	Review of services to prevent flooding
(including specific subject areas to be investigate)	
Background to the Proposal (Including reasons why this topic should be investigated and evidence to support the need for the investigation.)	Many areas across Bromsgrove experienced severe flooding during Autumn 2019. Anecdotally there is evidence to suggest the problems were exacerbated by a lack of prevention work such as keeping brooks, drains and culverts clear. Furthermore, as flood prevention transcends the remit of a number of authorities it can be difficult to establish which agency is responsible for remedying problems.
Links to national, regional and local priorities (including the Council's strategic purposes)	Bromsgrove District Council Plan strategic purpose: 'Communities which are safe, well-maintained and green'
Possible Key Objectives (these should be SMART – specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timely)	 Review key incidents of flooding in the district, their causes and the effectiveness of responses Assess whether sufficient work is being undertaken to prevent flooding Assess whether sufficient resources are made available for this work Assess whether there is sufficient transparency over responsibilities for managing and preventing flooding
Anticipated Timescale for	One year

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD 2nd June 2020

completion of the work.				
Would it be appropriate to hold a Short Sharp Inquiry or a Task Group? (please tick relevant box)	Task Group	Possibly	Short Sharp Inquiry	No

OFFICE USE ONLY - TO BE COMLETED WHEN THE TOPIC PROPOSAL IS ACCEPTED

Key documents, data, reports Possible Site Visits Is a general press release required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder) Any External Witnesses	Evidence	
Possible Site Visits Is a general press release required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
Possible Site Visits Is a general press release required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	1	
Is a general press release required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	reports	
Is a general press release required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	D "11 0" 1/" "	
required asking for general comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	Possible Site Visits	
comments/suggestions from the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
the public? Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
Is a period of public consultation required? Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	the public?	
Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
Witnesses Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)		
Officers Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	consultation required?	
Councillors (including Portfolio Holder)	Witnesses	
Portfolio Holder)	Officers	
Portfolio Holder)		
	Councillors (including	
Any External Witnesses	Portfolio Holder)	
	Any External Witnesses	
	, =	